

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON
MEDFORD DIVISION

ALLEN LAMONT JAMES,

1:10-cv-00202-CL

Petitioner,

v.

ORDER

DON MILLS,

Respondent.

PANNER, District Judge:

Magistrate Judge Mark D. Clarke filed a Report and Recommendation (#56), and the matter is now before this court. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B), Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b). Although no objections have been filed, this court reviews the legal principles *de novo*. See Lorin Corp. v Goto & Co., Ltd., 700 F.2d 1202, 1206 (8th Cir. 1983).

I have given this matter *de novo* review. I find no error. Accordingly, I ADOPT the Report and Recommendation (#56). The Petition (#2) is DENIED. All pending motions are DENIED as moot. No certificate of appealability shall issue as petitioner has not made a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right. See 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 15 day of April, 2015.



OWEN M. PANNER
U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON
MEDFORD DIVISION

ALLEN LAMONT JAMES,

1:10-cv-00202-CL

Petitioner,

v.

JUDGMENT

DON MILLS,

Respondent.

PANNER, District Judge:

For the reasons stated in the Court's Order adopting the Findings and Recommendations of Hon. Magistrate Judge Clarke (#56), the Petition (#2) is DENIED.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 15 day of April, 2015.



OWEN M. PANNER
U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE